

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

TIMOTHY KORHONEN, individually; JOSEPH
 ODDO, JR., individually,

Plaintiffs,

vs.

SENTINEL INSURANCE, LTD.; DOES I-X,
 and ROE CORPORATIONS I-X, inclusive,

Defendants.

Case No. 2:13-cv-00565-RCJ-NJK

**ORDER DENYING REQUEST
 TO EXTEND DEADLINE**

Pending before the Court is a Stipulation to extend the time for Defendant Sentinel Insurance, Ltd. to file an initial responsive pleading to Plaintiff's Second Amended Complaint (Docket No. 30), filed on April 21, 2014. *See* Docket No. 31. Local Rule 6-1 requires that every "motion or stipulation to extend time shall ... state the reasons for the extension requested." The pending Stipulation seeks to extend the time for Defendant Sentinel to file an initial responsive pleading, but does not state the reasons that an extension is requested.¹ Accordingly, the Stipulation is hereby DENIED without prejudice.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: April 22, 2014

NANCY J. KOPPE
 United States Magistrate Judge

¹

The Parties merely state "This stipulation is made in good faith, and is not anticipated or intended to cause any delay to any party." Docket No. 31, at 2.